Age, Gender, and Race Analyses of D/C/F/TAF in HIV-1 Treatment-naïve Patients

Bruce Rashbaum^{1,*} Cheryl McDonald², Cristina Mussini³, Christoph D. Spinner⁴, John Jezorwski⁵, Eric Y. Wong⁶, Kimberley Brown⁶

INTRODUCTION

- The oral, once-daily, single-tablet regimen darunavir/cobicistat/emtricitabine/ tenofovir alafenamide (D/C/F/TAF) 800/150/200/10 mg is approved in Europe¹ and under regulatory review in the United States for the treatment of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-1 infection²
- Studies of darunavir (DRV) have demonstrated a durable virologic response, long-term safety, and high barrier to the development of resistance.^{3,4} The tenofovir prodrug TAF has shown similar efficacy and improved renal and bone safety compared with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF)^{2,5,6}
- D/C/F/TAF has been evaluated in pivotal phase 3 trials of both treatmentexperienced, virologically suppressed (EMERALD) and treatment-naïve (AMBER) patients.^{7,8} The AMBER trial demonstrated that D/C/F/TAF was noninferior to use of D/C + F/TDF at Week 48^{8}

OBJECTIVE

• To evaluate Week 48 efficacy and safety results from the AMBER trial of treatmentnaïve patients receiving D/C/F/TAF across subgroups based on age, gender, and race

METHODS

Study Design

- Treatment-naïve adults with HIV-1 infection were enrolled in the ongoing phase 3, randomized, noninferiority AMBER trial (**Figure 1**)⁸
- Patients with baseline resistance-associated mutations (RAMs; except to DRV, emtricitabine [FTC], or TDF) were eligible

Figure 1. AMBER study design.



VL. viral load: HBV. hepatitis B virus: HCV. hepatitis C viru *Stratified by VL (\leq or >100,000 copies/mL) and CD4⁺ cell count (< or \geq 200 cells/ μ L) at screening.

Analyses

- The primary endpoint in AMBER was the proportion of patients with virologic response at Week 48
- Virologic response was defined as VL <50 copies/mL (US Food and Drug) Administration [FDA] snapshot)
- For virologic response rates, the difference (95% confidence interval [CI]) between the D/C/F/TAF and control groups was calculated as follows:
- Overall population: stratum-adjusted Mantel-Haenszel test with stratification factors of VL (≤ or >100,000 copies/mL) and CD4⁺ cell count (< or ≥200 cells/µL)
- Subgroups: exact Cls
- Safety assessments included adverse event (AE) reports and changes in bone mineral density (BMD) and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) from baseline to Week 48 (calculated using serum cystatin C [Chronic Kidney Epidemiology Collaboration formula]; eGFR_{cystC})
- Analyses were performed using all randomized patients who received ≥1 dose of study drug (intention-to-treat population)
- Results were evaluated in subgroups based on age (≤50 vs >50 years), gender, and race (black/African American vs non-black/African American)
- Analysis of race subgroups excluded patients with race categorized as "unknown" or "not reported"

RESULTS

Patient Population

D/C/F/TAF and control arms overall (**Table 1**)

<u>Table 1. Baseline Demographic and Clinical Characteristics (Overall Population)</u>

Parameter Demographic characteristics edian age (range), y ge category, n (%) nder, n (%) Nomen асе, п (%)* Non-black/African American Black/African American **Clinical characteristics** ime since diagnosis, median (rang og₁₀ VL, median (range), copies/ml D4⁺ cell count, median (range), ce Percentages calculated excluding patients with "unknown" or

Efficacy

age, gender, and race subgroups (**Figure 2**)

/L and CD4⁺ cell count data at baseline.

Resistance

- Among the 9 patients with available data, none developed post-baseline DRV or primary protease inhibitor (PI) RAMs⁸
- One patient (D/C/F/TAF group) developed M184I/V, an FTC and lamivudine RAM. This patient had K103N at screening, indicating transmitted nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (efavirenz/nevirapine) resistance⁸

Safety

- Overall, AE rates were similar in the D/C/F/TAF and control arms, and no clinically relevant differences were observed across subgroups (**Table 2**)
- The most common study drug—related AEs overall (≥5% of patients in either arm) were diarrhea (D/C/F/TAF, n = 31 [9%]; control, n = 40 [11%]), rash (n = 22 [6%]; n = 14 [4%]), and nausea (n = 20 [6%]; n = 36 [10%])
- Overall, there was 1 clinical renal AE of interest (D/C/F/TAF arm); there were no clinical renal AEs of interest that were considered related to study drug in any subgroup
- Improvements in eGFR_{cvstC} were observed with D/C/F/TAF versus control overall,⁸ and the results were generally consistent across subgroups (**Figure 3A-C**)
- Lower rates of bone AEs of interest and increases in BMD were observed with D/C/F/TAF versus control overall and across subgroups (**Table 3** and **Figure 3D-F**)⁸

• Baseline demographic and HIV-1 disease characteristics were balanced between the

	D/C/F/TAF (N = 362)	Control (N = 363)						
	34 (19-61)	34 (18-71)						
	326 (90)	331 (91)						
	36 (10)	32 (9)						
	318 (88)	322 (89)						
	44 (12)	41 (11)						
	305 (88)	309 (89)						
	40 (12)	40 (11)						
)	5.7 (0.6-194.3)	4.3 (0.7-310.3)						
	4.4 (1.3-6.6)	4.6 (3.0-6.7)						
t	462 (46-1,454)	440 (38-1,456)						
ot repo	orted" race.							

• Virologic response rates with D/C/F/TAF and control were similar overall and across



*Overall, 15 (4%) patients treated with D/C/F/TAF and 30 (8%) patients treated with control did not have virologic response data at Week 48. For each subgroup, patients with missing data in the D/C/F/TAF and control treatment groups, respectively, were as follows: 4% and 8% of those aged ≤50 years, 3% and 13% aged >50 years, 4% and 7% men, 7% and 20% women, 4% and 8% non-black/African American, and 8% and 8% black/African Americ

Table 2. Incidence (%) of AEs Through Week 48

				Age sul	ogroups			Gender s	ubgroups		Race subgroups			
	Overall population		≤50 years		>50 years		Men		Women		Non-black/ African American		Black/ African American	
Parameter, %	D/C/F/TAF	Control	D/C/F/TAF	Control	D/C/F/TAF	Control	D/C/F/TAF	Control	D/C/F/TAF	Control	D/C/F/TAF	Control	D/C/F/TAF	Control
Π	362	363	326	331	36	32	318	322	44	41	305	309	40	40
≥1 AE*	86	85	85	85	94	81	86	85	91	85	85	86	88	68
Discontinued due to an AE	2	4	2	4	Ο	9	2	3	5	12	2	5	Ο	0
≥1 grade 3-4 AE	5	6	4	6	17	9	5	6	9	10	5	7	5	3
≥1 serious AE	5	6	4	5	11	9	4	5	7	10	5	7	5	3
*There were no deaths in any arou	D													

Table 3 Incidence (%) of Bone AEs of Interest Through Week 48

				Age sul	ogroups			Gender s	ubgroups		Race subgroups			
	Overall population		≤50 years >50 yea			ars Men		Women		Non-black/ African American		Black/ African American		
Parameter, %	D/C/F/TAF	Control	D/C/F/TAF	Control	D/C/F/TAF	Control	D/C/F/TAF	Control	D/C/F/TAF	Control	D/C/F/TAF	Control	D/C/F/TAF	Control
Π	362	363	326	331	36	32	318	322	44	41	305	309	40	40
Bone loss/atrophy	6	10	6	10	8	9	7	11	2	2	7	12	Ο	Ο
Related	1	3	1	3	Ο	Ο	1	3	Ο	0	1	3	Ο	Ο
Fracture, other	1	1	1	1	3	Ο	1	<1	2	2	1	1	Ο	Ο
Related	0	0	Ο	Ο	Ο	Ο	Ο	Ο	Ο	Ο	О	Ο	Ο	Ο
Fracture, possibly osteoporotic	<1	0	<1	Ο	Ο	Ο	<1	Ο	О	0	<1	Ο	Ο	0
Related	0	0	0	0	Ο	Ο	Ο	Ο	0	Ο	Ο	Ο	Ο	Ο
Other bone events	1	0	<1	0	3	Ο	1	Ο	Ο	Ο	1	0	Ο	0
Related	<1	0	<1	0	0	0	<1	0	0	0	<1	0	0	0

POSTER PRESENTED AT THE CONFERENCE ON RETROVIRUSES AND OPPORTUNISTIC INFECTIONS (CROI); MARCH 4-7, 2018; BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS.

Figure 2. Virologic response at Week 48.*

¹Capital Medical Associates, Washington, DC, USA; ²Tarrant County Infectious Disease Associates, Fort Worth, TX, USA; ⁴Janssen Research & Development, LLC, Pennington, NJ, USA; ⁴Janssen Scientific Affairs, LLC, Titusville, NJ, USA; ⁴Janssen Research & Development, LLC, Pennington, NJ, USA; ⁴Janssen Scientific Affairs, LLC, Titusville, NJ, USA; ⁴Janssen Research & Development, LLC, Pennington, NJ, USA; ⁴Janssen Scientific Affairs, LLC, Titusville, NJ, USA; ⁴Janssen Research & Development, LLC, Pennington, Pennington, Pennington, Pennington, Pennington, Pennin



CONCLUSIONS

- Efficacy and safety results for D/C/F/TAF versus control were consistent across subgroups by age, gender, and race through Week 48 in treatment-naïve patients with HIV-1 infection
- African American)
- D/C/F/TAF achieved a high virologic response rate of 91.4%, which was noninferior to control (88.4%) • VF rates were low, and development of primary PI or DRV RAMs was not observed upon VF Favorable renal and bone outcomes were observed with D/C/F/TAF relative to control

REFERENCES

- 1. SYMTUZA (darunavir/cobicistat/emtricitabine/tenofovir alafenamide) [summary of product characteristics]. Beerse, Belgium: Janssen-Cilag NV; October 2017.
- 2. Mills A, et al. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2015;69(4):439-445. 3. Lathouwers E, et al. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2017;18(5-6):196-204.
- 4. Orkin C, et al. *HIV Med*. 2013;14(1):49-59.
- 5. Ruane PJ, et al. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2013;63(4):449-455.
- 6. De Clercq E. Biochem Pharmacol. 2016;119:1-7.
- 7. Orkin C, et al. *Lancet HIV*. 2018;5(1):e23-e34.
- 8. Gallant J, et al. Presented at: European AIDS Conference; October 25-27, 2017; Milan, Italy. Oral presentation PS8/2.

*Presenting author.

492

– The analyses were limited by the small numbers of patients in the subgroups (eg, >50 years, women, black/

DISCLOSURES

B. Rashbaum, C. McDonald, C. Mussini, and C.D. Spinner contributed to the conduct of the study as investigators and to the interpretation of the data. J. Jezorwski contributed to statistical analysis and interpretation of the data. E.Y. Wong and K. Brown contributed to the design of the study and interpretation of the data. All authors contributed to drafting the poster and approved the final

B. Rashbaum has participated in speakers bureaus for Gilead and Johnson & Johnson and is a stockholder for Gilead. C. McDonald reports personal fees from Gilead, Merck, ViiV, and Janssen. C. Mussini and C.D. Spinner report no conflicts of interest. J. Jezorwski, E.Y. Wong, and K. Brown are full-time employees of Janssen.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was funded by Janssen Scientific Affairs, LLC. Medical writing support was provided by Courtney St. Amour, PhD, of MedErgy, and was funded by Janssen Scientific Affairs, LLC.